



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/438,692 11/11/1999		RODNEY C. LANGLEY	3656US(95-00	9759	
7	590 04/10/2003				
JOSEPH A W	/ALKOWSKI		EXAM	INER	
TRASK BRITT & ROSSA P O BOX 2550			POTTER, ROY KARL		
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
• •		09/438,692		LANGLEY, RODNEY C.				
•	" Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Roy K Potter		2822				
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed								
after S - If the   - If NO - Failur - Any re	sions of time may be available unter the positions of time may be available unter the position.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute  ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin  d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ly within the statutor will apply and will e	y minimum of thirty (30) days opire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status		Enhruany 200	4					
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u>							
2a) ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
-	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application	on.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
-	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-9,11-19 and 21-30</u> is/are rejected.							
	☑ Claim(s) 4,10 and 20 is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election req	uirement.					
• •	ion Papers							
9) 🗌 '	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.	utantal ta butbo Evo	miner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)								
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
u,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certifi	ed copies not receiv	eu. (a) (ta a provisiona	l application)			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachme				(DTO 442) D	\(\sigma\)			
2) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	·) ·	4) Interview Summa 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	ry (PTO-413) Paper No I Patent Application (PT	(s) 'O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/438692

Art Unit: 2822

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 - 3, 5 - 7 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Ming-Tsung et al..

Ming-Tsung et al., U.S. Patent 5,703,408 discloses, as shown in Figure 7, a bond pad having a substantially flat surface. It is located above insulating layer 30. The surface has openings 32 extending partially into the surface. The openings are disposed about the center that is free of openings. Figure 3 shows a cross section that includes substrate 0 which includes active devices, as explained in column 3, line 10. Figures 5 and 6 show an arrangement of rectangular channels arranged parallel to each other. Figure 7 shows channels which are "L" shaped.

Claims 8 and 17 - 18 and 26 - 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 59-35437 to Hayakawa .

Art Unit: 2822

Hayakawa discloses a semiconductor device which comprises an active circuit in a semiconductor device. The circuit is a wiring pattern 11 which overlies it. The wiring pattern 11 has selected areas that form bond pads with a plurality of openings extending partially into a substantially flat surface.

Claims 28 - 30 are product- by process claims. The Applicant should note that such claims are directed to the product per se, regardless of how it is actually made.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9, 11 - 15, 19, 21 - 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayakawa in view of Ming-Tsung.

Ming-Tsung, described above, has openings about a center portion, in a series of spaced apart rectangular channels arranged parallel to each other, and in "L" shaped channels. Ming-Tsung also teaches the use of a passivation layer 40 shown in figure 3.

Application/Control Number: 09/438692

Art Unit: 2822

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to use the patterns shown y Ming-Tsung because they are taught to reduce bond pad peal-off.

Passivation, as shown by Ming-Tsung, is well known in the art to provide protection form

Page 4

undesired electrical connection to a wiring layer and would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 10 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

There is no teaching or radiating openings as claimed in claims 4, 10 and 20.

Conclusion

The applicant argues that the subject matter of this reissue application has not already

been allowed in U.S. Patent No. 5,686,762.

The Applicant also argues that the claims to a bond pad were omitted in the U.S. Patent

No. 5,686,762.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 30 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2822

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy Potter whose telephone number is (703) 308 - 4106.

Roy Potter

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 2800

Potter April 7, 2003